

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,257	01/22/2002	Frederick R. Bean	TN-2239	3692	
Adan Ayala, Es	7590 06/26/2007 Q.		EXA	EXAMINER	
Black & Decker	r Inc.		NGUYEN	, PHONG H	
701 E. Joppa Ro Towson, MD 21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
				T	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$\gamma$	P
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,257	BEAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.4	April 2007.	٠.	
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 10</u> is/are v			•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	its have been received in Applicat	ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F		·O 152\
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	алент друшсаціон (РТ	O-132)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (5,778,747) in view of Meredith et al. (5,957,021), hereinafter Meredith.

Chen teaches a chop saw comprising a base assembly and a saw assembly attached to the base having an upper blade guard 84, a plate 88, a lower blade guard 142, a screw securing the plate 88 to the upper blade guard. See Fig. 1 and 3.

Chen does not teach a first tab. Meredith teaches a first tab 66a for forcing a user to withdraw a fastener a sufficient amount to allow a plate to be pivoted. See Fig. 12 and 13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the plate in Meredith with a first tab for forcing a user to withdraw the fastener a sufficient amount to allow the plate to be pivoted as taught by Meredith.

Due to the small tolerance between the plate and the lower guard, as the screw is moved a second distance, the lower guard contacts the screw upon the rotation of the lower guard.

It is to be noted that the tab is provided at the right end for the plate 88 in Figs. 1 and 3 in Chen.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/054,257

Art Unit: 3724

3. Claims 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 04/17/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the combination of Chen and Meridith does not teach that the lower blade guard contacts the screw upon the rotation of the lower blade guard after the screw has been moved to the second distance. This argument is not persuasive. It is well know the art that the plate and the lower guard have a small tolerance. The lower blade guard slides on the plate. Therefore, when the screw is move a second distance that is longer than the length of the tab, the screw interferes the rotation of the lower blade guard.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3724

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy V. Eley/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3724

PN: **M**June 20, 2007